				مانند المنظم لاناه المنظم لاناه
Interview Summary	Application No. 09/669,301 Examiner Arun Chakral	Applicant(s	Kudlic  Art Unit 1634	ki
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO  (1) Arun Chakrabarti	Mia	hael	Krawz	senek
(2) Thomas Boyce	(4)			
Date of InterviewOct 24, 2002  Type: a ☐ Telephonic b ☐ Video Conference c ☐ ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d ☐ Yes	2)□ applicant's rep e)⊠ No. If yes, brid	resentative ef descriptio	J on:	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>All</u> Identification of prior art discussed: All				
acplication / claims are allowed are teaching an RNASe, a clear, especially less inserting second soluble lautibalies of a favorably. However, further connecessary.	nature of what was a portuned that able in about the strange of th	greed to it a Clair Sluce blicant ke the Whope ent? be and	m 56 a property was investion for the grant of searoch is	advised more Brost and
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendmentallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no convailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)  if it is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate fulless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL NCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP fready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM UBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of	e record of the substa WRITTEN REPLY TO section 713.04). If a	ance of the THE LAST Teply to th	interview (if bo	nims allowable is ox is checked). ON MUST

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required